

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/172,553	10/14/1998	JAMES E. GREEN	2914.IUS	9441
7590 06/30/2005		EXAMINER		
JOSEPH A WALKOWSKI			DIAZ, JOSE R	
TRASK BRITT	Γ& ROSSA			
PO BOX 2550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE O	CITY, UT 84110		2815	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Response to Rule 312 Communication Description Description Description			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner José R. Díaz The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − 1. ☑ The amendment filed on 25 May 2005 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) ☐ entered. b) ☑ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.				•					
José R. Díaz The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − 1. ☑ The amendment filed on 25 May 2005 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) ☐ entered. b) ☑ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.	Respo	nse to Rule 312 Communication							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address – 1. The amendment filed on 25 May 2005 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) entered. b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below. e) entered in part. See explanation below.									
1. ☑ The amendment filed on 25 May 2005 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) ☐ entered. b) ☑ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.			José R. Diaz	2815					
a) □ entered. b) ⊠ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) □ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) □ disapproved. See explanation below. e) □ entered in part. See explanation below.	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –								
a) □ entered. b) ⊠ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) □ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) □ disapproved. See explanation below. e) □ entered in part. See explanation below.									
a) □ entered. b) ⊠ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) □ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) □ disapproved. See explanation below. e) □ entered in part. See explanation below.									
b) ☑ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.	1. 🛚 The	1. ☑ The amendment filed on 25 May 2005 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:							
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below. e) entered in part. See explanation below.	a) 🗌	a) entered.							
Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below. e) entered in part. See explanation below.	b) 🖂	entered as directed to matters of form not affecting	the scope of the invention.						
and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below. TOM THOMAS	c) 🔲	disapproved because the amendment was filed aft	er the payment of the issue fee.						
e) entered in part. See explanation below.									
TOM THOMAS	d) 🗌	I) disapproved. See explanation below.							
TOM THOMAS	e) 🔲	entered in part. See explanation below.							
TOM THOMAS				-					
TOM THOMAS			10mm /N	omea					
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			BAMOHT MOT						
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER							
				•					



IN THE CLAIMS:

Claims 1 through 30 and 36 were previously cancelled. Claims 35 and 42 have been amended herein. All of the pending claims are presented below. This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application. Please enter these claims as amended.

Listing of Claims:

1.-30. (Cancelled)

- 31. (Previously presented) A semiconductor capacitor storage poly, comprising: downwardly extending recesses; and a plurality of mesas comprising a plurality of contiguous top surfaces, the mesas forming a maze-like structure.
- 32. (Previously presented) The storage poly of claim 31, wherein the mesas extend in the X, Y and Z coordinates.
- 33. (Previously presented) A semiconductor capacitor storage poly, comprising: downwardly extending recesses;
- a plurality of webs comprising a plurality of contiguous top surfaces, the webs forming a maze-like structure; and

hemispherical-grain polysilicon on at least some of the plurality of contiguous top surfaces.

34. (Previously presented) The storage poly of claim 33, wherein the webs extend in the X, Y and Z coordinates.

Serial No. 09/172,553



IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Please insert a new paragraph and section heading directly preceding the first paragraph and section heading on page 3 as follows:

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a divisional of application Serial No. 08/833,974, filed April 11, 1997, now U.S. Patent 6,066,539 issued May 23, 2000.

Please amend the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 as follows:

Higher performance, lower cost, increased miniaturization of components, and greater packaging density of integrated circuits are ongoing goals of the computer industry. The advantages of increased miniaturization of components include: reduced-bulk-reduced-bulk-reduced-bulk-reduced-bulk-reduced-bulk-reduced-bulk-electronic equipment, improved reliability by reducing the number of solder or plug connections, lower assembly and packaging costs, and improved circuit performance. In pursuit of increased miniaturization, DRAM chips have been continually redesigned to achieved ever-higher degrees of integration which has reduced the size of the DRAM. However, as the dimensions of the DRAM are reduced, the occupied area of each unit memory cell of the DRAM must be reduced. This reduction in occupied area necessarily results in a reduction of the dimensions of the capacitor, which, in turn, makes it difficult to ensure required storage capacitance for transmitting a desired signal without malfunction. However, the ability to densely pack the unit memory cells while maintaining required capacitance levels is a crucial requirement of semiconductor manufacturing technologies if future generations of DRAM devices are to be successfully manufactured.

Please amend the third full paragraph on page 6 as follows:

FIG. 23 is an illustration of a scanning electron micrograph of a side-eross-sectional_view of a storage poly after etching in the formation of a capacitor according to the present invention;